## Calculating the Superimposed Load on Wood-Frame Walls for ASTM E119 Standard Fire-Resistance Tests

During an ASTM E119 standard fire-resistance wall test, the wall assembly is required to be subjected to a superimposed load to simulate a maximum load condition per nationally recognized structural design criteria. In the U.S., the nationally recognized structural design procedures for wood construction are contained in the National Design Specification for Wood Construction ${ }^{\circledR}$. In accordance with these standard design procedures, the superimposed load applied to a $2 \times 4$ wood stud wall assembly is typically limited by the adjusted compression design stress parallel to grain of the wood stud. Thus, the maximum superimposed load for any wall being tested is the sum of the maximum allowable design loads for each stud in the wall assembly. As an alternative, ASTM E119 permits testing at less than the maximum load, however, these tests must be reported as being conducted under restricted load conditions.

Table 1 gives calculated superimposed loads for a typical 10 ' x 10 ' test assembly configuration constructed with nine (9) studs of common wood species groups and lumber grades. An example calculation is given below. The calculations are based on 2018 National Design Specification for Wood Construction ${ }^{\circledR}\left(N D S^{\circledR}\right)$ design procedures. These calculated values are also given in ASTM D 6513 Standard Practice for Calculating the Superimposed Load on Wood-Frame Walls for Standard Fire-Endurance Tests.

EXAMPLE CONSTRUCTION (See Figure 1):
Studs: Douglas fir - Larch (DFL) Select Structural (SS), 1.5" x 3.5" @ 16" o.c., 115.5" long Plates: DFL SS, $1.5 "$ x $3.5 "-1$ bottom plate $120 "$ long

- 2 top plates $120 "$ long

Configuration: 9 studs arranged symmetrically
Insulation: 3.5" thick Mineral Wool Insulation
Sheathing: 5/8" Type X gypsum wallboard each side


Figure 1 - Plan view of example wall cross section

## CALCULATION OF SUPERIMPOSED LOAD:

Compressive resistance of the example wood stud wall loaded parallel to grain, $P_{r}$, determined in accordance with the $N D S$ using Allowable Stress Design (ASD) procedures:
$F_{c} \quad=\quad$ reference compression design value parallel to grain $=1,700 \mathrm{psi}$
$F_{c}{ }^{*}=$ reference compression design value multiplied by all applicable adjustment factors except $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$=\quad F_{c} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i}$
(Table 4.3.1, NDS 2018)

$$
=\quad(1,700 \mathrm{psi})(1.0)(1.0)(1.0)(1.15)(1.0)=1,955 \mathrm{psi}
$$

Where:

| $F_{C}$ | $=$ | reference compression design value parallel to grain $=1,700 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}$ | $=$ | load duration factor $=1.0$ |
| $C_{M}$ | $=$ | wet service factor $=1.0$ |
| $C_{t}$ | $=$ | temperature factor $=1.0$ |
| $C_{F}$ | $=$ | size factor $=1.15\left(\right.$ for $1.5^{\prime \prime} \times 3.5 "$ studs, SS grade DFL) |
| $C_{i}$ | $=$ | incising factor $=1.0$ |
| $C_{P}$ | $=$ | column stability factor |
| $A$ | $=$ | area of cross-section $=\left(3.5^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1.5^{\prime \prime}\right)=5.25 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |

Due to the slenderness of the studs, the adjusted compression design stress parallel to grain is affected by the buckling resistance of each stud. For strong-axis buckling of the stud (perpendicular to the plane of wall):

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P} & =\frac{1+\left(F_{c E} / F_{c}^{*}\right)}{2 c}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(F_{c E} / F_{c}^{*}\right)}{2 c}\right]^{2}-\frac{F_{c E} / F_{c}^{*}}{c}} \\
& =\frac{1+(521 / 1,955)}{(2)(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+(521 / 1,955)}{(2)(0.8)}\right]^{2}-\frac{521 / 1,955}{0.8}} \\
& =0.7915-\sqrt{(0.7915)^{2}-0.3330}=0.2498
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{c E} & =\frac{0.822 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{\left(\ell_{e} / d\right)^{2}}=\frac{(0.822)(690,000 \mathrm{psi})}{(33)^{2}}=521 \mathrm{psi} \\
E_{\min } & =\text { reference minimum modulus of elasticity design value }=690,000 \mathrm{psi} \\
E_{\min } & = \\
& \text { adjusted minimum modulus of elasticity design value for beam and } \\
& =E_{\min } C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{T} \\
& =(690,000 \text { psi) }(1.0)(1.0)(1.0)(1.0)=690,000 \mathrm{psi} \\
C_{T} & =\text { buckling stiffness factor }=1.0 \\
\ell_{e} / d & =\text { slenderness ratio }=115.5^{\prime \prime} / 3.5^{\prime \prime}=33 \\
c & =0.8 \text { for sawn lumber }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
F_{\mathrm{c}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\text { adjusted compression design value parallel to grain }
$$

$$
=\quad F_{c}{ }^{*} C_{P}=(1,955 \mathrm{psi})(0.2498)=488 \mathrm{psi}
$$

$$
P_{r}=F_{c}^{\prime} A=(488 \mathrm{psi})\left(5.25 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)=\underline{2,564 \mathrm{lb} / \text { stud }}
$$

As used in typical construction, weak-axis buckling of the stud (in the plane of the wall) is prevented by the gypsum wallboard which is fastened to the stud. Each fastener acts as a bracing point along the stud length.

Compressive resistance of wood plates loaded perpendicular to grain, $Q_{r}$, as determined in accordance with the $N D S$ (ASD Method) for the Example construction:
$F_{C} \perp \quad=\quad$ reference compression design value perpendicular to grain $=625 \mathrm{psi}$
$F_{c} \perp^{\prime} \quad=\quad$ adjusted compression design value perpendicular to grain multiplied by all applicable adjustment factors except $\mathrm{C}_{P}$
$=\quad F_{c} \perp C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{b}$
(Table 4.3.1, NDS 2018)
$=\quad(625 \mathrm{psi})(1.0)(1.0)(1.0)(1.0)=625 \mathrm{psi}$
Where:
$C_{M}=\quad$ wet service factor $=1.0$
$C_{t}=$ temperature factor $=1.0$
$C_{i}=\quad$ incising factor $=1.0$
$C_{b}=$ bearing area factor $=1.0$
$A=$ area of cross-section $=\left(3.5^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1.5^{\prime \prime}\right)=5.25 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$Q_{r} \quad=\quad F_{c} \perp^{\prime} A=(625 \mathrm{psi})\left(5.25 \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)=\underline{3281 \mathrm{lb} / \text { stud }}$
Compression perpendicular to grain resistance does not control ( $Q_{r}>P_{r}$ ). Accordingly, the superimposed load is limited by compression parallel to grain resistance of $2,564 \mathrm{lb} /$ stud.

## SUPERIMPOSED WALL LOADING:

Required Superimposed Line Load on Wall Assembly for the Example Construction: $W_{s}=P_{r}($ Number of studs $)=(2,564 \mathrm{lb} /$ stud $)(9$ studs $)=\underline{\mathbf{2 3} .1} \mathbf{~ k i p s}$

Table 1-2018 NDS Reference Design Stresses and Superimposed Loads

|  |  |  | 2018 NDS Reference Design Stresses ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Superimposed Load |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Grade | Size | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}} \\ (\mathrm{psi}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}^{\perp}} \\ (\mathrm{psi}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ \text { (psi) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {min }} \\ & (\mathrm{psi}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stud Load }_{2,3} \\ \text { (lbf/stud) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total Load ${ }^{4}$ <br> (lbf) |
|  | ss | 2x4 | 1,700 | 625 | 1,900,000 | 690,000 | 2,564 | 23,073 |
|  | \#1 | 2x4 | 1,500 | 625 | 1,700,000 | 620,000 | 2,300 | 20,703 |
| FIR-LARCH | \#2 | 2x4 | 1,350 | 625 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 | 2,145 | 19,307 |
|  | STANDARD | 2x4 | 1,400 | 625 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 | 1,890 | 17,011 |
|  | STUD | 2x4 | 850 | 625 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 | 1,797 | 16,176 |
|  | Dense SS | 2x4 | 2,050 | 660 | 1,900,000 | 690,000 | 2,573 | 23,154 |
|  | SS | 2x4 | 1,900 | 565 | 1,800,000 | 660,000 | 2,455 | 22,096 |
|  | \#1 Dense | 2x4 | 1,750 | 660 | 1,800,000 | 660,000 | 2,439 | 21,952 |
| SOUTHERN | \#1 | 2x4 | 1,650 | 565 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 | 2,156 | 19,400 |
| PINE | \#2 Dense | 2x4 | 1,500 | 660 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 | 2,139 | 19,250 |
|  | \#2 | 2x4 | 1,450 | 565 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 | 1,895 | 17,058 |
|  | STUD | 2x4 | 850 | 565 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 | 1,664 | 14,980 |
|  | STANDARD | 2x4 | 1,300 | 565 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 | 1,640 | 14,759 |
|  | SS | 2x4 | 1,500 | 405 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 | 2,126 | 19,136 |
|  | \#1 | 2x4 | 1,350 | 405 | 1,500,000 | 550,000 | 2,043 | 18,386 |
| HEM-FIR | \#2 | 2x4 | 1,300 | 405 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 | 1,761 | 15,846 |
|  | STANDARD | 2x4 | 1,300 | 405 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 | 1,640 | 14,759 |
|  | STUD | 2x4 | 800 | 405 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 | 1,570 | 14,130 |
|  | SS | 2x4 | 1,400 | 425 | 1,500,000 | 550,000 | 2,048 | 18,436 |
| SPRUCE- | \#1/\#2 | 2x4 | 1,150 | 425 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 | 1,881 | 16,931 |
| PINE-FIR | STANDARD | 2x4 | 1,150 | 425 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 | 1,624 | 14,617 |
|  | STUD | 2x4 | 725 | 425 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 | 1,548 | 13,931 |

[^0]
[^0]:    1. Reference design stresses from the 2018 NDS.
    2. Stud load is calculated based on $F_{c}$ ' using a stud length of 115.5 inches, resulting in $\mathrm{Le} / \mathrm{d}=33$.
    3. Stud load is calculated based on $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} \perp^{\prime}$ assuming plates of the same species as the studs.
    4. The tabulated total load is calculated assuming the wall contains nine studs.
